

St Clair Detroit River System Initiative Governance Model for a Common Agenda



St. Clair -Detroit River

System Initiative

## Outline

Background Challenges "Collective Impact" Strategic Vision



Partnership

**Subcommittees** 

**Goals and Objectives** 

Summary







St. Clair -Detroit River

System Initiative

### Background

- Hundreds of years of misuse and abuse
- 6 Areas of Concern; high concentration of industrial use
- Major urban and rural agriculture setting
- High Fish and Wildlife Values
- Ten years of multi-agency planning, research and implementation
- First bi-national reef restoration project in the Great Lakes







**Detroit River** 

System

## Challenge of Success

- How to organize the diverse mandates, interest, and field of expertise
- Governance, decision making and roles and responsibilities
- Cost







Detroit River System Initiative

## **Collective Impact**

- A long-term commitment by a group of actors from different sectors willing to work together under a common agenda to solve a problem
- Agreeing to a set of goals and objectives with agreed upon measures of success (or indicators)
- Create, foster and facilitate knowledge sharing, collaborative efforts and program support





				Is Needed	
		Expected		Research	Is Monitoring
	Actions	Outcomes by	Кеу	Underway/Plann	Underway/Pla
Objectives	Needed	2023	Uncertainties	ed?	nned

Kania, J., and Kramer, M. 2011. "Collective Impact" Stanford Social Innovation Review pp36-41.





St. Clair -Detroit River

System Initiative

### Strategic Vision

The St. Clair-Detroit River System is a thriving ecosystem managed with science-based principles and broad social support, providing desired environmental services for the region and the Great Lakes basin.









#### st. Clair -Detroit River System Initiative

## **Strategic Vision**

### Purpose:

Coordinate research and management needs

### Common Agenda:

Restoration and protection through adaptive, coordinated science decision

### <u>Guiding Principles:</u> Benefit for society Science-based

Collaboration

Effective communication









## Strategic Vision

### Outcomes:

St. Clair -Detroit River

System Initiative

Prioritized projects that;

- improve biodiversity
- Benefit people
- Reduce risk of invasive species, pollution and habitat
- Measureable

Decision are based on science and monitoring

Provide a forum to facilitate coordination, transparency and communication







St. Clair -Detroit River System Initiative

## Partnership Agreement

Member	Date Signed
University of Toledo	4/18/2014
Wayne State University	4/25/2014
Michigan Sea Grant	4/25/2014
Great Lakes Fishery Commission	4/28/2014
The Nature Conservancy	5/21/2014
Michigan Department of Environmental Quality	7/13/2014
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	7/17/2014
USGS - Great Lakes Science Center	7/21/2014
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	7/27/2014
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration	7/28/2014
Essex Region Conservation Authority	7/29/2014
Walpole Island Fish Nation	7/30/2014
Ohio DNR Division of Wildlife	7/31/2014
Michigan DNR Fisheries Division	8/1/2014
Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources	9/19/2014

#### Purpose:

To coordinate research and management efforts that collectively will achieve measurable progress toward the shared vision, as implemented through a strategic process to link science with integrated management priorities

#### Structure:

- Steering Committee with Chair and Vice Chair
- Membership
- Roles and Responsibilities
- Subcommittees





Detroit River System Initiative

## **Communication Subcommittee**

### Charges for 2014-15

- 1. Implementing backbone facilitation for the Partnership.
- 2. Revise website to reflect the new Partnership vision and structure.
- 3. Develop methods and products (such as report cards) to communicate annual outputs from the science and monitoring subcommittees to all partners and interested stakeholders.











System Initiative



### Charges for 2014-15

- Develop a Monitoring Workplan 1. consisting of with specific surveys and indicators...
- Develop prioritization criteria to 2. identify surveys of the highest utility for advancing the Common Agenda.
- Review and amend the Monitoring 3. Workplan annually...,
- Provide the monitoring plan to the 4. Steering Committee, the Science Subcommittee, and the **Communications Subcommittee** following any amendments.





Detroit River System Initiative

## Science Subcommittee

### Charges for 2014-15

- 1. Develop a Science Strategy with relevant working/research hypotheses and evaluation indicators...
- 2. Develop prioritization criteria to identify hypotheses of the highest utility for advancing the Common Agenda.
- 3. Review and amend the Science Strategy annually...,
- 4. Provide the science strategy to the Steering Committee, the Monitoring Subcommittee, and the Communications Subcommittee following any amendments.







Detroit River System Initiative

- 1. Address Beneficial Use Impairments to de-list Areas of Concern
- 2. Improve water quality through reductions in pollutants form sources
- 3. Increase overall biodiversity through protection and improvements to habitat
- 4. Increase production of indigenous fish stocks through protection and improvements to habitats
- 5. Reduce the impacts on habitat, biodiversity and fisheries from Aquatic Invasive Species threats





Detroit River System Initiative

## Priority Objectives Setting

Annual Partnership workshop

77 participants representing multiple agencies and organizations

Use clicker technology to prioritize objectives

Breakout groups to recommend ranking system

Steering Committee chose 9 key priority objectives

ORAFT Working Hypotheses (H"action" then "expected outcome")								
Priority	ID.	Actions Needed (Objectives)	Expected Outcomes by 2023	Indicators	Inter-connections among Priorities			
1	1	Remove contaminated sediments to remove degradation of Senthos BUI	Reductions in PCR, mercury and PAHs to deamap offsetia; improved benthic community and reduced contaminant levels (protective of fish and wildlife) due to sediment deamap(Can ADCs) or deamap otheria ADS ADCs)	PCB, Hg, PAH concentration in sediment to cleanup criteria levels; Achievement of reference condition criteria (Can AOCa) benthic community structure , contaminants in benthos	Remediation of contaminated sediments will			
1	2	Complete remedial actions to remove fish tumors and other deformities RU	Incidence of brown bullhead tumors to levels dimilar to reference conditions	liver turnors in brown bullheads	Improve habitats for healthy fauna and their use by people; contaminants are linked directly to the benche BUI and response is expected there initially less water polition will address driving atter impairments; increased biodivently, fiberies, and diriking water quility will improve societal perception of healther eccepters.			
1	3	Complete nemedial actions to remove restrictions on fish and wildlife consumption RUI	Aedused contaminants in fish tissues, decrease in fish consumption advisories	fish consumption advisories for specificic species				
1	4	Complete remedial actions to remove bird or animal deformities or other reproductive problems BUI	incidences of bird or animal deformities or other reproductive problems reduced	deformities in frogs, hatching success, clutch size, threshold concentrations of contaminants causing reproductive problems in indicator species.				
1,3,4	5	Complete habitat improvement projects to remove loss of fish and withfile habitat #18	Completion of targeted habitat projects as per AOC habitat plane projects monitoring protocol for projects	# of projects completed				
2	6	Reduce loading from regulated and unregulated sources of 19/048	Less conteminants, nutrients, & nukence algoe; reduced inading to Likile, more SAV, fish diversity	7P/DRP loads from SCDRS sources including tributaries				
2	7	Mentify contaminants of concern (e.g. phormaceuticals and personal core products, microphastica) determine sources, and develop load reduction strategies	Less contaminants of concern (e.g.pharmaceuticals, personal care products, micropiostica)	Loads of contaminants of cancern from SCDRS sources, including tributaries				
2	8	Reduce biological contamination (s.coli, pathogens, virus impacts on wildlife)	Reduced beach closures, improved ability to predict beach closures, improved wildlife health	bacterial/pathogen/viruses in water and sediment; incidences of fish/wildlife disease incidents	and loadings of pollutants will be needed to remove Dills and to locrease babitat suitability for			
2	9	Reduce loadings from legacy contaminant sources (including groundwater at incern locations in DR)	Reduced loadings of legacy contaminants (including groundwater)	Loads of legacy contaminants from SCDRS sources, including groundwater	Indigenous fauna.			
2	10	Ettegrated landscape contaminant source assessment	Identification of landsex/contaminant score locations/loadings strategies to address multiple contaminant issues to ablew focused implementation actions for load reductions in priority areas					
8	11	Increase operation complexity/scenes belty through increased	More here, shorebirds, waterfowl, & fish species in shoreline oreos	acres protected/improved; species richness				
3,4	12	softened shorelines and native riportion veg. Increase continuous area of functional wethands and their connectivity to the SCDRS	increased bindleemity and fish production in wetland one as	acres protected/improved; species_richness; iavai fish densities; fish population dwamicr	An expression of higher blodiversity of indigenous			
3,4	13	Increase river spavening habitat	Ingroved biodivenity and fish production	acres protected/improved; species richness; laval fish densities; fish population dynamics	particle was be research to demonstrate that water quality has improved and that BUFs for aquatic habitats, deformities, benthos, etc., have been addressed. Habitat improvements through water			
3,4	14	Ment/ly and protect critical habitat areas for rare species, including the mostly includes a consectivity with the second	Increased TBA/SAR species abundance; increased production of YOV School	acres protected/improved; rare species presence				
4	15	honese hydrological lateral connectivity between main channel hadrans (e.g., Islands) and shallow water hadrast	numaned lanut/juwnile fish production	areas protected/improved; larval fish densities; fish population dynamics	quality and AOC initiatives should increase indigenous this production in the system, not just short-term fish attraction, and provide significant economic benefits to fisheries.			
5	16	Develop surveillance monitoring for AIS based on hob/tot requirements and evaluability	Improve detection and assessment programs for developing effective risk management actions	estimated detection probabilities by species and gear type				
5	17	Adaptively manage invadue plants (e.g., Phragmites, European Brogbit) at a system landscape scale	Reduce the impact on, and promote restoration of, desired wetland habitats	AIS plant distribution and coverage	Sea lampney control is necessary for production of indigenous fishes at levels for fisheries benefits.			
5	Apply Integrated pert management for sea lampreys in the SKDBS Biphonest preventive strategies through information/education [20] programs and management of patients and patheops (big), additionation, the management of patients, etc.)		Reduce ona langurey recruitment to table title Provent introductions of new species	adult lamprey abundance; wounding rates	ALS plants control is important to promote increases in wetland. Might anticipate increases in ALS as habitats are improved, which may			
5				# peopley/groups contacted; compliance rates with BW plans; # new species by vector over time	compromise expected increases in non-indigenous biodiversity and potentially ecosystem services.			
		and a second second the second second						

the Steering Committee established five management priorities. They include (non-ranked):
Address beneficial use impairments to de-list the Detroit River AOC and St. Clair River AOC in both countries

Address beneficial use impairments to de-list the Detroit River AOC and St. Clair River
Improve water quality through reductions in pollutants from SCDRS sources

3. Increase overall biodiversity through protection and improvements to a connected mossic of habitats in the syst

4. Increase production of indigenous fish stocks through protection and improvements to functional habitats in the system

5. Reduce impacts on habitats, biodiversity, and fisheries from AIS threats



Ρ



Detroit River

- Complete habitat improvements projects to remove loss of fish and wildlife 1. habitat BUI
- 2. Reduce loading from regulated and unregulated sources of TP/DRP
- Identify contaminants of concern, determine sources and develop load reduction 3. strategies
- 4. Increase riparian complexity/connectivity through increased softened shorelines and native riparian vegetation
- 5. Increase continuous area of functional wetlands and their connectivity to the SCDRS





## **Priority Objectives**

- 6. Increase spawning habitat
- 7. Increase and protect critical habitat areas for rare species, including river mouth habitats and connectivity with tributaries
- 8. Develop surveillance monitoring for AIS based on habitat requirements and availability
- 9. Implement preventive strategies through information / education programs and management of potential sources and pathways (AIS)





# Next Steps

- Analyse science and monitoring database
- Develop a monitoring work plan consisting of specific surveys and indicators
- Develop a science strategy with relevant working/research hypotheses and evaluation indicators...
- Implement habitat improvement projects to remove loss of fish and wildlife habitat beneficial use impairment





St. Clair -Detroit River System Initiative

### **Special Thanks**

**Environment Canada** Essex Region Conservation Authority Fisheries and Oceans Canada Great Lakes Fishery Commission Michigan Department of Environmental Quality Michigan Department of Natural Resources Michigan Sea Grant National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration **Ohio Department of Natural Resources** Ontario Ministry of the Environment **Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources** U.S. Army Corps of Engineers U.S. Environmental Protection Agency U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service U.S. Geological Survey Walpole Island First Nations

BASF Central Michigan University CineGroup Detroit River Canadian Cleanup DTE Energy Environmental Consulting and Technology, Inc. Friends of the Detroit River Great Lakes Commission Herpetological Resource and Management Michigan State University Michigan Wildlife Conservancy SmithGroup JJR The Nature Conservancy University of Michigan University of Toledo University of Windsor Wayne State University Wildlife Habitat Council

